1	JAMES REILLY DOLAN		
2	Acting General Counsel GREGORY A. ASHE		
2	HELEN CLARK		
3	Federal Trade Commission		
1	600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW		
4	Washington, DC 20580		
5	Telephone: 202-326-3719 (Ashe)		
_	Telephone: 202-326-2273 (Clark) Facsimile: 202-326-3768		
6	Email: gashe@ftc.gov, hclark@ftc.gov		
7	Email: gashe@ite.gov, hetark@ite.gov		
0	CHRISTOPHER CHIOU		
8	Acting United States Attorney		
9	LINDSAY AGER		
	Assistant United States Attorney		
10	Nevada Bar No. 11985		
11	501 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101		
	Phone: (702) 388-6336		
12	Facsimile: (702) 388-6787		
13	Email: Lindsay.ager@usdoj.gov		
13			
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
15	UNITED STATES DIS	TRICT COURT	
	DISTRICT OF N		
16			
17	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,		
		Case No. 2:20-cv-00840-JAD-NJK	
18	Plaintiff,		
19		FTC'S CONCURRENCE TO	
	V.	RECEIVER'S APPLICATION	
20	LEAD EXPRESS, INC., et al.,	FOR (1) DISCHARGE OF RECEIVER AND (2) APPROVAL	
21	LEAD EAI RESS, INC., et at.,	OF FINAL FEE APPLICATION	
4 1	Defendants.		
22			
23		=	
2 3	Plaintiff, the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC"), through its undersigned counsel,		
24			
25	respectfully submits this concurrence in support of the	ne Receiver's application for: (1) discharge	
	from his duties as Receiver; and (2) approval to pay	final invoices totaling \$32,167,76 for the	
26	nom ms duties as receiver, and (2) approval to pay	mai myorees toming \$52,107.70 for the	

Receiver and his counsel. (ECF No. 98.) The FTC further concurs in the Receiver's recommendation that the Court terminate the receivership. (ECF No. 98.)

The FTC agrees that the work of the receivership is now complete. The FTC has reached, and the Court has entered, a settlement with defendants Lead Express, Inc., Camel Coins, Inc., Sea Mirror, Inc., Naito Corp., Kotobuki Marketing, Inc., Ebisu Marketing, Inc., Hotei Marketing, Inc., and Daikoku Marketing, Inc. ("Corporate Defendants") and Takehisa Naito and Keishi Ikeda ("Individual Defendants") that has resolved all outstanding issues between the FTC and each of the Corporate and Individual Defendants. (*See* ECF No. 95.) And the FTC's motion for default judgment against the remaining defendant, La Posta Tribal Lending Enterprise ("Tribal Defendant"), has been fully briefed and is ready for ruling by the Court.

(*See* ECF Nos. 91, 92, 93, 96, and 97.) Pursuant to Section VII.L of the Stipulated Order for Permanent Injunction and Monetary Judgment as to the Corporate and Individual Defendants (ECF No. 95 at 12), the FTC intends to return approximately \$1 million to consumers who were subjected to Defendants' unlawful payday lending scheme, and the redress fund is comprised principally of funds that the Receiver secured.

The FTC submits that the services the Receiver rendered during the course of the receivership were necessary, appropriate, and brought value to the Receivership Estate. The Receiver's Final Account and Report (ECF No. 99) highlights myriad issues the Receiver encountered in administering the receivership estate. These issues included securing the

¹ The FTC notes that the Tribal Defendant was never part of the Receivership. (*See* ECF Nos. 44 and 47.) Nevertheless, pursuant to the Stipulated Preliminary Injunction with the Tribal Defendant, the Tribal Defendant's assets were transferred to the receivership. (*See* ECF No. 47.) And the FTC's proposed default judgment order would confirm that that money remains part of the receivership to be disposed of by the Receiver. (*See* ECF No. 97-1.) Notwithstanding the pending default judgment motion, the FTC concurs with the Receiver that the receivership can be terminated.

business premises during the COVID-19 pandemic, evaluation and repayment of unused loan disbursements from the Small Business Administration's Paycheck Protection Program, and the sale of several luxury vehicles. The substantial fund now available for consumer redress is testament to the efficiency that the Receiver applied to resolving the issues the receivership encountered.

Moreover, in the course of administering the Estate, the Receiver took steps that inured directly to the benefit of consumers. Among other things, the Receiver determined that the receivership could not responsibly collect on the thousands of outstanding payday loans purportedly owed to the receivership defendants by consumers. Given that the FTC maintains that all of those loans were procured through unlawful practices, the Receiver's actions averted additional harm to consumers.

The FTC has carefully reviewed the Receiver's application for discharge and approval to pay final invoices for the Receiver and his counsel (ECF No. 98), the declaration of the Receiver in support thereof (ECF No. 99), and the invoices submitted therewith (ECF No. 99-1 – 99-4). Based on its review, its work with the Receiver over the course of the receivership, and the benefits to consumers that accrued as a result of the Receiver's work, the FTC concurs in the Receiver's application.

Accordingly, for the reasons set forth herein, the FTC therefore respectfully requests that Court enter the proposed Order submitted by the Receiver with his application. (ECF No. 100.)

1	Dated: May 14, 2021	Respectfully submitted,
2	J	AMES REILLY DOLAN
3	A A	Acting General Counsel
4		s/ Gregory A. Ashe
5		GREGORY A. ASHE HELEN CLARK
		Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
6		Washington, DC 20850
7		Γelephone: 202-326-3719 (Ashe) Γelephone: 202-326-3373 (Clark)
8	I	Facsimile: 202-326-3768
9	F	Email: gashe@ftc.gov, hclark@ftc.gov
10		CHRISTOPHER CHIOU
11		Acting United States Attorney LINDSAY AGER
12		Assistant United States Attorney Nevada Bar No. 11985
13		501 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 1100
		Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Phone: (702) 388-6336
14		Facsimile: (702) 388-6787
15		Attorneys for Plaintiff
16		FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
17		
18	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
19	The undersigned hereby certifies that on May 14, 2021, a true and correct copies of FTC'S CONCURRENCE TO RECEIVER'S APPLICATION FOR (1) DISCHARGE OF RECEIVER AND (2) APPROVAL OF FINAL FEE APPLICATION was filed electronically with the United States District Court for the District of Nevada using the CM/ECF system, which sent notification to all parties of interest participating in the CM/ECF system.	
20		
21		
22	sent notification to an parties of interest partic	ipating in the Civi/ECF system.
23		s/ Gregory A. Ashe
24		Attorney for Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission
25		
26		
27		